Characterising global risk profiles of Mpox clade Ib importation

Toshiaki Asakura, Sung-mok Jung, Shuihui Jin, Gang Hu, Akira Endo, Borame Lee Dickens



17 October 2024 Mpox Community Call WHO Collaboratory

Context of our analysis – In early September

Country	Cumulative confirmed cases Jan-Aug 2024		
DRC	4799		
- South Kivu	1370		
Burundi	328		
Uganda	10		
Rwanda	4		
Kenya	2		
Sweden	1		
Thailand	1		



Travel history – among African countries



Complicated travel history – outside Africa

As of September,

No	Country	Confirmation date	Age, sex, nationality	Travel history
1	Sweden	2024-08-15	Aged between 30-40 years	Travelled to Sweden from an outbreak-affected country in the African region. The travel itinerary included more than one transit and some longer stopovers.
2	Thailand	2024-08-22	66-year-old <mark>European</mark> man	Arrived in Bangkok from unnamed African country on 14 August.
3	India	2024-09-23	38-year-old man	Landed in Kerala, India from the UAE

We expect more importations in the future,

-> Characterise global risk profiles and compare that risk with the observations

Study setting

Study setting

- Clade Ib of MPXV was assumed to be exported from
 - DRC (main analysis)
 - DRC or Burundi
 - DRC, Burundi, Uganda, Rwanda, Kenya
- and imported
 - through flight routes
 - to countries except ones reporting clade la or lb



Operational mpox HCID (Clade I) case definition,

https://www.gov.uk/guidance/operational-mpox-monkeypox-hcid-case-definition



IATA flight volume data averaged over May 2023 to June 2024

Note: No use of incidence data



Method



 $D_i \sim \text{Geom}(r_i)$

Number of imported cases globally before country *i* observed an importation.

Method





(B) Simulated total number of importations before a specific country observed one importation



(C) Simulated number of countries with importations before a specific country observed one importation

Sweden – 36th [95% range: 3-74th] country to import clade Ib

Thailand – 47th [95% range: 6-88th] country to import clade lb

-> Statistically, those two were not among countries most likely

to report 1st and 2nd importations (same conclusions from the sens. ana.)



Interpretation and conclusion

Explanation for early Sweden and Thailand importations would be

- Potential underreporting in high-risk countries.
 - First few importations in countries with the largest travel volume may have been missed? e.g. South Africa, France, Belgium, etc...
 - Deletion of target domain affected PCR test accuracy for clade differentiation.
- Model limitations
 - Complicated travel histories might not be captured by flight data.

Conclusion

- Highlighted the need to ramp up surveillance capacity for early detection.
- Our results showed the likely phase at which a country may experience importations

Thank you





centre for mathematical modelling of infectious diseases



